Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/574,114	BRUCE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TIFFANY M. GOUGH	1657
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>TIFFANY M. GOUGH</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Erin Hoffman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 November 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Faxed proposed amendment.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1,12 and rejoinder of claims 7-10, 14		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Tiffany M Gough/ Examiner, Art Unit 1657	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Erin Hoffman was telephoned to discuss an Examiners Amendment, specifically amending claims 1, 12. A Draft copy of the Examiners Amendment was faxed to Erin Hoffman. She agreed to the claim amendments as proposed. The Examiner informed her that the Restriction requirement would be withdrawn and claims 7-10 and 14 would be rejoined.

.